CANDOUR

The British Views - Letter

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Volume XLIX Number 1 January 1996

ONE – WORLD (DIS)ORDER "STILL GOING STRONG"

"The World Conservation Bank was created at a conference held in Denver, Colorado, 13th September 1987 and continued on Sept 14th–18th at Aspen Institute for Secular Humanism at Estes Park, Colorado."

This report is recalled in the Nov/Dec 1995 issue of the Intelligence Newsletter published by Christian Crusade for Truth*. In a quotation taken from its May/June 1988 issue, in which it reported that "those striving for a One World Order have used the argument of worldwide environmental pollution to outright confiscate *(sic)* huge tracts of land all over the world... Their program included the confiscation of the lands of the western United States." Quote: "Estes Park is the home of the Aspen Institute for Secular Humanism. Robert O. Anderson (head of Atlantic Richfield Oil Company) is one of its founders. The singer John Denver is also associated with the Aspen Institute, either directly or through the Findhorn Group. That is a group with its headquarters in Loveland, Colorado which is deeply involved in the New Age Movement. It is also generally understood that Anderson, along with Armand Hammer, is associated with the World College in Las Vegas, New Mexico" *(Candour* readers will remember the like association of the Duke of Edinburgh and the Prince of Wales).

"It has been reported in several publications that Anderson also funds, to a significant degree, the Sierra Club and other wilderness–crazies. You will recall that it was they who flew up to Alaska in airplanes fuelled by oil, slept in hotels heated by oil, ate food that had been grown using oil for energy and that had been prepared in restaurants on stoves using oil products to cook the food. They went to Alaska to oppose the building of the Alaska oil pipe line! Isn't it also strange that Robert O. Anderson of the Atlantic Richfield OIL Company would support the Sierra Club to go to Alaska to FIGHT the construction of the Alaska pipe line?

"... now something has taken place that can allow us to understand this abnormal interest in the massive increase in wilderness areas. Apparently, this is happening all over the world and not just in the United States". [Can this be the reason for our "set aside"? ED.']

Intelligence Newsletter continues: "The World Conservation Bank will contain trillions of dollars in capitalization (which will be used to re-negotiate the world's debts), the collateral being those wilderness properties throughout the world! The WCB will have central-bank powers similar to the Federal Reserve central bank."

"The speakers from finance and government who spoke at the conference included: James Baker III, Secretary of the U.S. Treasury; David Rockefeller; G. Arthur Brown; Dr. Nyle C. Brady; Dr. Mansour Khalid; William Ruckleshaus and Michael Sweatman."

"Baron Edmund de Rothschild was at the meeting for six days and was personally conducting the monetary matters and the creation of this World Conservation Bank with Michael Sweatman of the Royal Bank of Canada."

"The outward purpose and the "front" for the meetings was world ecology with such topics as the rain forest situation, the ozone deterioration, etc. Thus, there were hundreds of ecology types including Forest Service personnel in their green uniforms there to make it look official."

"But when James Baker, Secretary of the U.S. Treasury went to the podium and spoke of "growth and development", the real purpose of the meeting was exposed for the world to see."

"What they intend to do is for this newly created bank, the WCB to make more money out of thin air, just like the Federal Reserve — and the banks of all the rest of the countries making up the Plutocratic, Bolshevik, Zionist, Elite Capitalist cartels do and then loan this newly created money to all of the countries that owe these banks money. This will refinance their huge, worthless loans which already exist. As examples, Mexico owes 100 billion dollars, Brazil owes over 100 billion dollars and they can't make even the interest payments! (The United States owes 5–7 trillion dollars and thus the big flap about balancing the budget!–ED)".

"In return, these debtor nations will pledge all of these newly-formed "wilderness areas" as collateral. They know that these countries will still be unable to pay back these huge loans. It was intended to be that way. Now they have the mechanism to actually forclose and own huge tracts of land in these various countries."

"Dr. Jose Pedro de Oliveira-Costa, a high official of the Brazilian finance ministry was present at the meeting. He reported that there is no benefit that anyone is going to get from this bank. He said, `If they give us a refinance, in the short run, yes, we will benefit. We will get soft

currency from them, we'll be able to get our economy going again, but in the long term, we won't be able to pay those loans back. We've devalued as far as we possibly can in our country, we are on the brink of poverty."

"The WCB will be enacted by the United Nations and will need to be approved by every country participating. If the proposition goes through, in time the bank will own over thirty per cent of the world's surface!"

"If our senators and congressmen vote for the proposition, then all of these wilderness areas the Bureau of Land Management is madly developing could become the collateral for at least some of the money we owe to banks that made money out of nothing but thin air and punches on the computer keys."

That ends the Intelligence Newsletter quotes from 1988. The newsletter goes on to say today:

"Thus, we can readily understand why the environmentalists, with the outright support of the federal government, are confiscating private lands, grazing rights, timber cutting interests, mining interests, and so-called wetlands. There is an ongoing war against the people of the West. The counter-offensive war to regain our freedoms is being heavily waged in the Western States."

"The Fifth Amendment of the Bill of Rights says in part. "... nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use without just compensation." This is being walked on by the One Worlders and our Federal Government is helping them with the court system, all the way to the Supreme Court. Even so, the people of the West are not going to be walked on.

*** Intelligence Newsletter, edited by Pastor Earl F. Jones, HC 66 Box 39, Deming, NM 88030. USA. Please send a donation for sample copy.**

A NEW YEAR QUIZ

"After the Lord Mayor's show"... Time to relax so here is a light-hearted quiz to help you do so. A £20 book token goes to the person with the first correct entry.

1). What King of England portrayed in a play within a novel published in 1927, on being released from captivity by a troubadour refuses to accept his own crown because in a world of wicked princes he prefers a wandering life in the green wood? Thus he says: "Shall I who sing with the high tree-tops at morning sink in Austria; even as is that brute and brigand that entrapped me, or be made a slave, a spy, a cheat, a King of France? And what crowns other shadow this earth? The evil kings sit easy on their thrones shame healed with habit; but what panic aloft what wild, white terror if a king were good! What pestilence of protection blanching all! Men easily endure an unjust master, but a just master no man will endure. His nobles shall rise up, his knights betray him and he go forth, as I go forth, alone.

The following statements, questions 2-6, were made by four different people, but do you know who they are?

2). The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots and tyrants. It is its natural manure.

3). The worst enemy of truth and freedom in our society is the compact majority. Yes, the damned, compact liberal majority.

4). The majority has the might – more's the pity – but it hasn't right... The minority is always right.

5). One of the mysteries of human conflict is why adult men and women all over England are ready to sign documents which they do not read, at the behest of canvassers whom they do not know, binding them to pay for articles which they do not want, with money which they have not got.

6). What of the bow?
The bow was made in England:
Of true wood, of yew wood,
The wood of English bows.

To the world of classic literature, with the next question. The writer should be familiar, but we need the play as well!

7). **Cade:** There shall be in England seven halfpenny loaves sold for a penny; the three-hooped pot shall have ten hoops; and I will make it felony to drink small beer, all the realm shall be in common... And when I am king – as king I will be – there shall be no money; all shall eat and drink on my score; and I will apparel them all in one livery, that they may agree like brothers, and worship me their lord.

Dick: The first thing we do, let's kill all the lawyers.

8). Name the owner/trainer of the filly, Sceptre, by Persimmon out of Ornament who in the year 1902 won four out of the five classic races: The 2000 Guineas and 1000 Guineas, Newmarket; The Oaks at Epsom and the St Leger at Doncaster – and was fourth in the Derby at Epsom. Say what were the two unique features of these performances.

9). What journalist in what country in 1933, in a competition for issuing the most improbable "story" put out the one which alleged that the head of that state had "devised queues as a means of ensuring that "the workers" were guaranteed a certain amount of leisure?

And finally, what is the famous name behind this forthright view on intermarriage.

10). You say maybe in another five thousand years or so,

(cont. back page)

ON PRODUCING MODERN PLAYS

by David Foster

In the Catholic School where I teach, I have produced a number of plays over the past few years. And every so often I hear the complaint: why doesn't the school present modern plays?

One subsidiary question I shall try to dispose of before approaching my main argument. Some modern plays a schoolmaster/producer can dismiss as being too trivial. Thus, Agatha Christie or other whodunnit may be an able piece of craftsmanship and be well worth going to the theatre to see, but not quite worth the trouble of producing in school. Expressed in other terms, we have to account for our use of time, and such a play may be worth two or three hours of an evening out, but not worth two or three months of a school's year.

And now to my main argument. To begin with, every piece of work done in this world must satisfy two criteria: one general, one particular. It must, firstly, be 'good' in the primary sense. There is no such thing as a neutral piece of work: everything one says, thinks or does either helps God's plan for the world or hinders it. Plays, like books, sermons, movements, Acts of Parliament, either work in favour of people's salvation or against it. We are here for a purpose, and all work is judged in the light of that purpose.

Secondly, every piece of work must be 'good' - that is, competent, well-made - of its own kind; or at least, as good as its author can make it. A play which has impeccable morality, but is improbable, ill-constructed, poorly characterised and lacks dramatic impact, satisfies the first criterion but not the second: indeed, its author's good intentions will be frustrated unless he learns the elements of his own trade as a playwright.

A play which has all the literary and dramatic qualities which the other lacks, but is in tendency base, pornographic or atheistic, satisfies the second criterion but not the first - for which the second, ultimately, exists.

So that anyone seeking to produce a play must first look for plays which satisfy both criteria: which are both *good* plays and good *plays*. Now it is no easy matter to find modern plays - post 1950 or even post 1914 - which satisfy both criteria. Why should this be?

MODERN PLAYWRIGHT

A full answer could involve both a lengthy history lesson and a lengthy explanation of the principles by which society is to be judged. Suffice it - here - to say that western civilisation, for all its shortcomings, was essentially Christendom, Christian civilisation, a society whose laws reflected Christian law and Christian priorities - and one can still find traces of this in English law; that the Reformation four hundred years ago was the chief cause of a rebellion against the Christian basis of society; and that in the twentieth century this rebellion has been carried further than ever before, so that the very idea that all society, all authority, all custom, all law should reflect man's supernatural destiny seems curious and alien to modern people, even including most modern Catholics.

Plays cannot be divorced from their society. If modern society is atheist, secularist, that is, based on the proposition that man need not take account of any power above himself - then modern plays, films, novels, will themselves - implicitly - be atheist and secularist too.

Does this mean a blanket refusal of all modern plays, without considering individual cases? Obviously not: a modern playwright is an individual, and as such he may have a thoroughly Christian view of life. If anyone can show me a good modern play which reflects this, I shall be happy to consider helping to produce it. But there are immense practical difficulties working against the existence of such a play.

In the first place, great or good creative work - in any of the arts - is hardly ever produced by anyone who has to swim against the tide of his time. The sheer nervous and psychic energy required to think out the very basis for one's own life and philosophy, with little or no help from one's contemporaries, means far too little is left over to create works of art as well.

Great poets, painters, sculptors are hardly ever great thinkers as well - they haven't the time or the energy; they aren't intended to have. God intends that society shall provide their underlying God-centred structure, and then they will be free to get on with the work for which they were created.

Dante and Shakespeare are not exceptions: the work of both is absolutely dense with Christian theology and imagery, but they both, as it were, found their basic assumptions 'lying around'; they did not have to spend time and energy discovering them.

So the right sort of modern play requires a dramatist capable of 'seeing through' the assumptions of our time, with sufficient strength of faith, mind and imagination to envisage - and create on the stage - a world in which quite contrary assumptions are taken for granted; who is in addition a craftsman capable of constructing successful and memorable pieces of dramatic experience - a capacity rarely found except among those who have given the whole of their energies and talents to the theatre - and if our man had done this, could he in addition have found time for the intellectual and philosophic development necessary? Such a man is bound to be uncommon: could he exist at all?

But even supposing he existed, how would we know about him? We could know about him only if his plays had been performed somewhere, and reviewed by a newspaper critic. Now the modern agents of secularism enjoy a virtual stranglehold over the media. There is not the smallest reason to suppose that their control is significantly less in the

West End or even the provincial theatre.

If a play were written which assumed a different set of values from those of modern secularism, then its author could have little hope of ever, in his own lifetime, seeing a public stage production of his play unless he found a sympathetic group of amateurs - and this would offer small comfort to a man hoping for wider recognition.

I would like to be proved wrong. I should be pleased if anyone could quote a modern example - by 'modern' I mean in this context, post 1945 - of a play which enshrines supernatural Christian values and is also widely praised as a good play. I have a sad confidence, almost a certainty, that no such example will be quoted. Even the magnificent 'A Man for All Seasons' contains at its very heart a fundamental distortion, an anarchistic isolation of the soul and fragmentation of morality, which the real St. Thomas More could never have dreamed of.

So I fear that, without especially wishing to on principle, I shall be continuing to produce plays of previous centuries. To do otherwise would seem to imply, in practice if not in theory, circulating wartime propaganda for the enemy.

BOOK REVIEW

FARMING AND FINANCIAL STRANGULATION

That one person in every three in the world should starve is one of the terrible realities of the twentieth century and a grim reminder - of man's inhumanity to man. People hunger because the produce of the soil is often deliberately destroyed rather than given to people in need. It is common knowledge that food such as milk has been poured into rivers, that oranges have been dumped into the sea and that millions of acres of wheat have been burned while people starve. Men wither and die because the earth from which they draw sustenance has been poisoned.*

It would be wrong, however, to think that if food were not deliberately destroyed, all would be well with the famished millions. Starvation is but one side of the sombre picture. On the other side, we view with alarm and dismay the systematic exploitation and impoverishment of the land, revealing once more how far man has wandered from the path of Order and Truth. This is a message that stands out in the pages of **The Church and Farming,** the final major work from the pen of the late Father Denis Fahey.

That Father Fahey appreciated the problems affecting farming may be seen from the dedication of the book. He offered it to the Holy Family of Nazareth, and to Saints Patrick, Bridget and Columcille, the ardent lovers of the Irish countryside which he himself loved so much. Father Fahey's world-wide reputation as a priest, philosopher and sociologist often tended to obscure his ardent patriotism. For great patriot he was, not of the tub-thumping kind nor of the school which drew its inspiration from the poisoned fountains of French Revolutionary ideas. He believed that Ireland's Golden Age was when she did all things in the spirit of Order and in full membership of Christ's Mystical Body, the Catholic Church.

A return to that state will come only when there is a recognition of the Divine Plan for Order growing from membership of the Mystical Body, Father Fahey argued. "By his very nature, man is destined to live in society, and social organisation wields an enormous influence on the individual persons composing it. Therefore, all... must be prepared to strive for the organisation of society demanded by the very institution of the Mystical Body of Christ... In other words, they must strive for the acceptance in the political and economic life of states, for the order demanded by the dignity of membership of Christ, and resist as a united body all attempts to eliminate that order from the world."

What that means to members of the farming profession may be learned from the words of Pope Pius XII in his address to the Italian Farmers' Federation in November 1946. He stressed how much the moral recovery of the whole people depends on the steadfast faith and social integrity of the tillers of the soil. To preserve a thriving farming community, two things are necessary. Farming must be based on the recognition of the family as the primary unit of society and secondly, the spiritual formation and education of the members must be carefully and constantly nurtured. "From the fact that your life-work is so profoundly and at the same time so generally and completely based upon the family, and therefore so fully in conformity with the order of nature, arises the economic strength and, in critical times, the capacity for resistance... and also your oft demonstrated importance in the development of justice and order... Finally, the stability of your family life is the reason for the indispensable function you are called upon to exercise as the fount and bulwark of unsullied moral and religious life, as well as the reservoir of men, healthy in mind and body, for all the professions, for the Church and for the State."

There will follow a genuine rural civilisation resulting in love of work, simplicity and uprightness of life, respect for authority especially of parents, love of country and fidelity to traditions, readiness to give mutual help and finally the factor without which all others would lack consistency, would lose all their value and give way to an unbridled desire for gain, namely a truly religious attitude of man.

As Father Fahey has pointed out, not only in The Church and Farming, but in his other books, the power exercised by the financiers is an issue of life and death to the farming community. The creation of 98 per cent of

** Nowadays we merely "set aside" from food producing growth.*

the world's money by the Banks, out of nothing, is not a factor leading to financial and economic stability. In the absence of any attempt to remedy the disastrous state of affairs produced by this financial strangulation, farming and farmers are simply in pawn to the creators of money, viz. the Banks.

It is easy to indulge in destructive criticism. The merit of any criticism is how far it attempts to cure the ills it diagnoses. Father Fahey, in out-lining principles of monetary reform, suggests three things. The first is that the creation of money, or exchange medium, must be taken out of private hands, viz. the Banks. "If a private group exercises the power to originate the exchange medium and then manipulates the volume of it, that group becomes a power greater than the government itself. It becomes a super-government, paralysing the efforts of the lawful government for the common good." The creation of money is the prerogative of the authority exercising jurisdiction over the whole country.

The second point of monetary reform is that the *lending* of money issued by the Governmental monetary authority should be carried out by privately owned Corporations joined in a Guild and functioning under Charter. "Government in the lending business is the essence of Socialism and Communism. For a government to create money as loans is even more vicious than for private banks to create money as loans."

The third principle of monetary reform is that the price level should be stable. Experience of fluctuating prices of the main commodities makes elaboration of the point unnecessary.

The final end of man as a member of Christ was the motive force behind every word which Father Fahey wrote or spoke. Therefore, when he deals comprehensively with the effects of food on health, for him it is a problem which hinders or retards man's life in Christ. That the food which we consume is generally deleterious to health is amply shown in the pages of **The Church and Farming.** This slow poisoning of human beings is, of course, but one aspect of the planners' plot to bring humanity into subjection so that the rule of the anti-Supernatural forces will be assured and the great bulwark of human liberty, the Catholic Church, rendered powerless.

The Church and Farming, by Father Denis Fahey is available from Rising Books, Forest House, Box 2, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD. England. Price £8.75 (please add 10% if ordering from overseas).

SOME OF WHAT SOME OF YOU THINK:—

IN LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Madam – I will not be renewing my subscription to Candour which is not without regret after 27 years of reading and absorbing that very rare commodity – truth.

I am afraid the truth includes the realization that the English since 1066 have been forelock touchers and tuggers. Today, financial corruption and moral bankruptcy are into every nook and cranny of society including, and particularly, the Church. The Lefebvrists are perhaps, the best of the bunch but they lack moral fibre. Their soft touch is the poor Phillipines as they are unable to make much headway at all in Eastern Europe. I get the message that a Lloyd's underwriter is financing their so-called headway in Britain. Even George Soros has had to admit failure in trying to impose his "open society" in Eastern Europe, having lost several of his basic £100 million in so doing.

People today do not seek truth but escape, fantasy and excitement.

As bingo Britain heads towards being an offshore multi-whorehouse made up of 10 regions, no central talking shop and a Crown represented by a republican commoner, some will say and are already saying, "roll on a Muslim State with some semblance of law and order". Charles is already preaching their praises.

King, queen or commoner, people do not change and the British Empire can now head the list of redundant* empires and civilisations. There is only one way that people can learn and that is the hard way – experience.

I know you will keep up the good and valiant work to the end. I can only admire you and salute you. Although I know you are throwing pearl after swine.

Plus ça change...

Regards etc.

B.F. Kent.

*(surrendered would be a better word–ED.)

Madam – Herewith my subscription for the new year, and may it be a happy one for you.

I have never discussed religion with you, but I must confess to being in the same category as PW of Norfolk (Nov/Dec issue). You might say I am an agnostic agonistic, because I am still doing a bit of jogging.

I went to a school headed by a clergyman who was also an Oxford Blue in athletics and quite keen on science, and I later took a science degree. I've long held the view that a true scientist must be able to say "I don't know". I don't believe the human mind is capable of being 100% sure of anything – even perhaps of dying. Science however is, or should be, the search for truth. The fact that scientific work has been misused is another matter. Was it Malcolm Muggeridge who said that there have been few inventions, other than the bicycle wheel, that have not been put to some evil purpose? On the probability scale of 0 to 1, I would say that the chance

that I will one day die is very close indeed to 1. As for the existence of God, I would put that at close to 0.

Is there a group (or groups) of people wanting to take charge of the planet (or take over from God, as some may put it)? If I had to put money on it, I would definitely say yes – but I wouldn't bet too much. What would be the driving force within such a group? My first bet would be Judaism, followed by Freemasonry or similar.

Assuming from now on in this letter that there is such a group, the tactic they are employing seems to be to encourage activities that increase their power and at the same time keep most of us too busy, and if possible too worried and confused, to do anything about it. To be successful, they must of course control the banks and the media and exploit the greedy element in the population.

The greedy element, or at any rate that element that is greedy enough to be a problem for the rest of us, is probably quite small. Most people (even among the young) are not too unhappy with what they've got. The reasons for the increase in crime among the lower orders including racial frictions, drugs, TV, leniency in punishment and the feeling (a correct one) that they are being ripped off themselves right, left and centre. They know perfectly well what's right and what's wrong. People who are sent to prison for sex offences (especially against children) have to be protected from the majority of other inmates, and they won't be surprised about that.

Perhaps the most important disruptive activity to get us all involved in is trade. The French farmers did us all a favour when they broke open some of our lorries. They showed us that we were exporting beef and mutton. We all know that we are also importing these foods from Argentina, New Zealand and elsewhere. Then there is the motor car industry. We and our competitors (sometimes called partners) are both exporters and importers of cars – madness! The banks, of course, make a profit on each transaction.

Although we have high unemployment at present, the aim must be to keep most people busy. Our politicians have been **boasting** lately that we have one of the highest percentages of women at work. This is one of the factors in increasing crime – which must also be encouraged. Just think of all the lovely spin-offs we get from crime, including oodles of cash for manufacturers of surveillance and alarm equipment (plus more unnecessary work) and we can all be made to accept being spied on.

Computers have already caused a lot of trouble, and they are going to cause a great deal more quite soon. We can hardly expect our children to want to go out to play these days. There is already about 20 times too much motoring being done, so the air in most places is unfit to breathe. But do we want our kids to have their heads stuck up against a video screen all day long, getting no exercise and having their brains thoroughly laundered? If computers are nothing else, they are among the finest time wasters ever. In my field (local government), nearly all the work we did on computers could have been done more efficiently and cheaply using quill pens. Indeed, idiotic schemes like the Poll Tax would never have been contemplated if we hadn't had computers.

There are thousands of other futile activities going on these days. Just look at the vast army of sales persons, advertising executives, PR persons, etc. needed to sell (even to a gullible public) the rubbish that is being manufactured. Shopping is now the country's main pastime – and that's official!

I don't want to sound too pessimistic, but in all the books and pamphlets I've read on behind-the-scenes politics, etc. I haven't found any suggestion for getting the One-Worlders off our backs that seems viable. We can always hope the insiders will fall out among themselves or make some huge blunder that will alert a large number of people. Failing that, some outsider will have first to identify the targets (not easy because of the secrecy involved) and then take action against each one.

As an outsider, he won't be able to use the method used to dispose of Mrs. Thatcher, so it will have to be the method used against JFK! But he can't be sure he has selected the right targets! That's the big problem.

Yours etc.,
GBP, Cornwall.

REPORT FROM IRELAND

by Ted Atkinson

Towards the end of September I went to Galway to help out with the campaign to keep legalised adultery out of Ireland. A letter such as this must necessarily contain a very abbreviated account, but I could virtually write a book about it. I would not have thought that a small country of only 3½ million inhabitants could contain so much moral corruption. It took this last Irish visit to show me the havoc wrought by Vatican II, particularly in regard to "Primacy of conscience". The Bishops for the most part just told their flock that they must vote according to their conscience. One Bishop in particular, (Walsh of Killaloe) finally betrayed us. His brand of wickedness is in a category of its own. He publicly announced *two* days before the referendum that in the 1986 divorce referendum he had voted in favour of divorce. You can imagine how many votes that cost us (we lost by less than ten thousand). There must have been several thousands who wanted to vote "Yes" but still had some remnant of the Faith in their souls. All they needed was a Diocesan Bishop to tell them quite plainly to vote "Yes". This was no spineless wimp as were most of the others. His action was carefully calculated and timed to inflict the maximum damage on the Catholic cause.

Mervyn Taylor, the ominously named "Minister of Equality and Law Reform", presented the legislation to the Dáil. He is a member of B'nai B'rith. Another "chum" in favour, is a character named Briscoe, whose father, ex-Lord Mayor of Dublin was a founder member of the

Dublin B'nai B'rith Lodge – (when it is recalled that it was B'nai B'rith who distributed the original UN flags with the command that they should be flown above the national flag when ever displayed. "It makes yer fink don't it?"–ED).

When distributing leaflets to parish churches in the Connemara area one P.P. refused a car sticker with the message "No Divorce, No Remorse" because he didn't want to offend anybody, (except Almighty God).

On November 18th we held a national Rosary rally in Dublin attracting about five thousand or possibly more (the press said only two). Just after the referendum there was the tumultuous welcome to Mr & Mrs. (Abortion) Clinton, which according to the media attracted a crowd of eighty thousand. Even if they exaggerated by 100% it was still a lot of people. It reminded me of the prophesy in the Apocalypse, "The whole world bowed down before the beast, and asked, who is a match for the beast, who can gainsay him".

I was watching this event on TV and a couple of significant and rather sinister incidents occurred, although perhaps I am reading too much into them. An Irish musical ensemble on the rostrum announced, "Now we will play The Masons Apron, (apparently an Irish jig) and a few minutes later Clinton and Bruton (the committed Catholic) met with what I am sure was a Masonic handshake. Later Pat Kenney, a well known chat show host was on the rostrum. He said, "We are not waiting for a sign from Heaven, but from the Bank of Ireland". Ponder those two at your leisure.

Fr Peter Byrne, a Redemptorist, wrote a lovely little book defending Christian marriage*. His own superior a Fr Flannery went on radio and publicly disowned him, and virtually condemned him. He said "Fr Byrne has no authority from us to publish books, and he goes over the top". About the same time a leading Dominican teaching nun, Margaret Mc Curtain went public and called for a "Yes" vote, and even added her name to a group of well known public figures (some of them quite notorious) put a full page ad in the *Irish Independent* calling for a "Yes" vote. Nobody in authority has disowned her.

In Galway city there is a chemist named Matt O' Flaherty who is busy selling condoms. He is a prominent member of the St Vincent de Paul Society. An even worse scandal. There is a government funded agency called "Aids West" distributing contraceptives among young people in colleges etc. They are actually operating out of Ozanam House, the Galway headquarters of the St Vincent de Paul Society. It seems that two complaints to the Bishop (Mc Laughlan have produced no results. Another man named O' Brien runs a paper shop and is busy selling every kind of printed filth, including *Gay News* (prominently displayed in the window, that's what drew my attention). When I complained he ordered me out of the shop. He claims to be a Catholic.

Throughout the ten weeks that I was there barely three days at a stretch passed by without another child abuse sex scandal erupted involving a priest. Mostly it was priests abusing altar boys, but always years previously. One fellow, now grown up has received £50,000 compensation. He claimed that these abuses went on for more than two years, yet he never complained and his parents never suspected a thing. One aspect of this gentleman's character was revealed when he asked in an interview, "Were you required to sign an undertaking that you would not go public, before this money was paid over to you". He replied, "No, but that would not have bothered me. I would have signed and then gone public afterwards".

Irish TV in particular is busy spewing out the kind of filth that has long been a familiar feature in Britain. Gay Byrne, a chat show host, is one of the worst. This creep claims to be a Catholic. Typical of the kind of material he puts out is to have David Norris a notorious sodomite senator who is a nominee of Trinity College. He was, I understand, one of the leading lights in getting sodomy decriminalised. On another occasion he had another slimy character from Trinity College, a professor I believe. He read out a poem the contents of which I leave to your imagination. It was entitled "Ode to a Lavatory".

Now, even the ban on *Playboy* magazine has been lifted, although for a long time the tabloid "newspapers" have been advertising sex shops and telephone sex chat lines dealing with such delightful subjects as "Male organ size turning men on" and "achieving orgasm". These go under the title of "Sex Therapy".

There is even a shop operating in Dublin's Dame Street that actually goes under the name of *Condom Power.*

I stayed with some friends in Ballybuffy, Co. Donegal. They took me to their local pub. When I complained to the landlord about a condom machine in the gents toilet he told me, "If you check you will find that it is empty" and sure enough it was. He explained that every pub is required to have them by law, under public health regulations, although (illogically) the publican is not obliged to keep it stocked. He claimed that the *day after* condoms were legalised *every* pub in the country was fitted with one. He said they must have been stored somewhere, ready for the word "Go".

Catholic Ireland has long been a thorn in the side of Jew-Mas and they are determined to destroy it, but thank God there are still a dedicated hardcore of Catholics who is fighting back. One thing that heartened me was the tremendous growth of Perpetual Adoration chapels in the last eight or nine years. I believe there are more than 120 around the country. But if it just is going to lead to an increase in the "Tell your beads like a good Christian, and let the world go its own wicked way" type of Catholic, then Ireland is doomed.

*** DON'T BLOW OUT THE CANDLE by Peter Byrne, C.Ss.R. Available from: Family Prayer Movement, Redemptorist Monastery, Mt. St. Alphonsus, Limerick, Ireland.**

all races are going to be the same colour. You say we'll be the colour of that dog over there, but I don't want to be that colour, and I don't want my children to be that colour. No intelligent black man or black woman in his or her right black mind wants white boys and white girls coming to their homes to marry their black sons and daughters to produce little pale half-white green-eyed blond-headed Negroes. And no intelligent white man or white woman in his or her right white mind wants black boys and black girls comin' around their homes to marry their white sons and daughters and in return introducing their grandchildren as little mixed-up kinky-headed half-black niggers. You want your child to look like you.

Advertisements

FREEDOM VIDEOS

USS LIBERTY SURVIVORS:- OUR STORY + HIDDEN AGENDA. *2 Hours. Colour.*

USS Liberty Survivors:- Our Story describes the USS Liberty incident through eyewitness accounts of the survivors. It is the only video account free from the Orwellian doublespeak that has obscured the event since June 8, 1967, when Israeli jet fighters and motor torpedo boats brutally attacked the *USS Liberty* in international waters. The attack lasted more than two hours killing 54 American sailors and wounding 171 others. They inflicted over 800 rocket and torpedo holes in the ship. When the *Liberty* refused to sink, Israeli forces machine-gunned her life rafts and sent in troop-carrying helicopters to finish the job. Details of the attack were hushed up in both countries. Israel claimed that her forces mistook the *Liberty* for an Egyptian ship. America quietly accepted that excuse despite massive evidence to the contrary. The facts have been covered up and never revealed on video until now! Now learn the truth from the men who lived through it.

The Hidden Agenda:- Norman Dodd was, in 1954, the staff director of the Congressional Special Committee to investigate tax-exempt foundations. Recorded soon before his death in the 1980's this interview has captured a rare piece of history and illustrates the continuity between the past and present drive towards 'The New World Order'.

Price: £14.99 including p&p. (Foreign orders add 10%). Send cheques or postal orders to Freedom Videos, Forest House, Box 1, Liss Forest, Hampshire, GU33 7DD.

BACK ISSUES OF *CANDOUR* AVAILABLE

Dating back to 1955 and under the direction of AK Chesterton until 1973 they are a mine of information which you cannot afford to leave unearthed. For a list of issues available, which also includes our booklist. Please send 4x 1st class stamps to Candour, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD.

RISING BOOKS

Abortion: Yes or No? *Grady.* In graphic terms this booklet sets out the case against abortion. It refutes all the arguments raised by those dedicared to baby-killing. £2.25.

The Ball Report. A revealing magazine style report which cites conclusive proof for the non-existence of German gas chambers during World War II. £1.40.

The Bigots Behind the Swastika Spree. Ever wondered how it is that every time the nationalist movement makes visible progress the daubing of synagogues begins? Want to know who is responsible for this activity? £1.30.

All prices include post and packing. Please send your cheque or postal order to Rising Books, Forest House, Box 2, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD.

FINAL CONFLICT

Youth magazine for National Revolutionaries - against capitalism and communism. Issue 9 out now! Articles on Vichy France, the Oklahoma bombing and the dangers of the *New Age Movement*, plus news reviews and readers' letters. Read *Final Conflict* for only £6 for five issues. **Write to BCM 6358, London, WC1N 3XX.**

LEGION TAPES

For a full listing of our nationalist and revisionist audio tapes send an SAE to **Legion Tapes, BCM 6358, London WC1N 3XX.**

THE BARNES REVIEW

...TO BRING HISTORY INTO ACCORD WITH THE FACTS

Monthly magazine of historical revisionism. Not limited to that part of history known as the Second World War, but covers all aspects of the past, both ancient and modem. Write to:-

The Barnes Review, 130 Third Street, SE, Washington, D.C. 20003.

SPEARHEAD

Monthly magazine published in support of the British National Party. **Sample copy £1.30p from PO Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW.**

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £15 OVERSEAS £20 U.S.$30 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM

CHEQUES AND POSTAL ORDERS MADE PAYABLE TO THE A.K. CHESTERTON TRUST

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire, GU33 7DD.
(Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle)